

English: How "Tea Party" leaders can boomerang the racism charge

Spanish: How "Tea Party" leaders can boomerang the racism charge [translation to Spanish provided by "Magaly Llaguno" <magaly@vidahumana.org> of Human Life International]

Justice For Kids Now Bulletin 28 October 2010

Brent Rooney (MSc, <http://www.justiceforkids.webs.com>)

How "Tea Party" leaders can boomerang the racism charge
Brent Rooney (MSc, fullterm40@gmail.com)

"Tea Party" (T.P.) candidates are expected to win many races in the Nov. 2010 U.S. election. Virtually none of the T.P. Leaders are aware of huge 'skeletons in the closet' of their liberal opponents. Two key 'skeletons':

1. The best evidence is that Hispanic women & Black-American women with prior IAs (Induced Abortions) elevate their risk of premature deliveries.
2. The most common abortion surgery, 'suction' (i.e. vacuum aspiration) abortion, has ZERO published animal studies to validate its safety. I.E. over 30 million U.S. 'suction' abortions violated principle 3 of the 1947 Nuremberg Code.

Premature newborn babies have higher risk of 'MACE' (Mental retardation, Autism, Cerebral palsy, Epilepsy) disorders plus blindness, deafness, respiratory distress, serious infections, gastrointestinal injury, etc. "Tea Party" members are slandered with the charge of racism. A 1986 study by Dr. Michael Ross

(UCLA) & colleagues, using Los Angeles, California women as subjects reported that women with prior IAs have 31% higher odds of a premature delivery as women with zero prior IAs.[1] 84% of the women subjects were Hispanic-American women. Was this 1986 'Ross' study a fluke? A 2000 study of Brazilian women reported 41% higher preterm birth odds for women with prior IAs.[2]

The New England Journal of Medicine [NEJM] is a very prestigious medical journal. A 1987 NEJM study by Harvard University researchers reported that Black-American women in the Boston, Massachusetts area with more than 1 prior induced abortion have 90% higher odds (almost double the risk) of a premature delivery compared to Black-American women with zero prior IAs.[3]

Are young women 'Guinea Pigs' for 'suction' abortion, a surgery never safety validated via published animal studies? In 2008 Brent Rooney (MSc), Dr. Byron Calhoun, Lisa Roche (J.D.) revealed that, indeed, there are zero published animal 'suction' abortion studies; <http://www.jpands.org/vol13no4/rooney.pdf> . [4] The 'BURDEN of PROOF' that such animal studies exists rests squarely on those performing 'suction' abortions. Do not hold your breath waiting for such non-existent studies being cited.

Are only minority women affected by the ab-preemie risk? Answer: All young women, of all ethnic groups and races, elevate their premature delivery risk via prior induced abortions. [5,6] In 2009 it became settled science that prior induced abortions raise a woman's risk for preterm deliveries.[5,6] One of the TWO (2) systematic reviews was the Dr. Prakesh Shah systematic review study in the prestigious BJOG (British Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology).[5]

Bottom Line: When Tea Party people are slandered with the racism charge, their smart retort is:

“We Tea Party members want a higher percentage of women to have full-term healthy babies. Why do you liberals want Hispanic-American women & Black-American women to have a

high percentage of premature and handicapped newborn babies?”

Brent Rooney (MSc)
Research Director, Reduce Preterm Risk Coalition
3456 Dunbar St. (Suite 146)
Vancouver, Canada V6S 2C2
web: <http://www.jusitceforkids.webs.com>
email: fullterm40@gmail.com

References

- 1 Ross MG, Hobel CJ, Bragenier JR, Bear MB, Bemis RL. A simplified risk-scoring system for prematurity. *Amer J Perinatol* 1986;3:339-344
- 2 Bettiol H, Rona RJ, Chin S, Goldani M, Barberi M. Risk Factors Associated with preterm births in Southeast Brazil: a comparison of two birth cohorts born 15 years apart. *Paediatric Perinatal Epidemiol* 2000;14(1):30-38 [Study Population: Brazilian women]
- 3 Lieberman E, Ryan KJ, Monson RR, Schoenbaum SC. Risk Factors Accounting For Racial Differences in the rate of premature birth. *NEJM* 1987;317:743-748.
4. Rooney B. Calhoun BC, Roche L. Does induced abortion account for racial disparity in preterm births, and violate the Nuremberg Code? *J Am Phys Surg* 2008;13:102-104.
5. Shah PS, Zao J. Induced termination of pregnancy and low birthweight and preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG* 2009;116:1425-1442.
[URL:
http://www.bjog.org/details/journalArticle/345727/Induced_termination_of_pregnancy_and_low_birthweight_and_preterm_birth_a_systema.html]
6. Swingle HM, Colaizy TT, Zimmerman MB, Moriss FH. Abortion and the risk of subsequent preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Reprod Med* 2009;54:95-108.