

Liberal Feminism: Defending Planned Parenthood and Abortion

by Ryan Bomberger | LifeNews.com | 9/28/11 5:05 PM

We hear it all the time: “You are what you eat.” Many of us resent the saying, but it’s true. In the case of Planned Parenthood and liberal feminism, “you are what you bleat.” Decades of bemoaning the suppression of women’s “equality” have distilled the liberal feminist movement into one simple word: death.

Life requires harmony. We see it throughout nature. Without harmony, whether organic or inorganic (e.g. mechanical), breakdown results and chaos ensues.

This is what liberal feminism does. It causes breakdowns and chaos with its persistent objective to divide genders. Like a self-loathing individual, it spews animus toward everyone, especially men. Patriarchy, with all of its history of failed humanity, is supplanted with narcissistic radicalism. Men are hated. Men are scorned. Men are unneeded.

Nowhere is this more evident than in the issue of abortion. On one hand, men are demonized for abandoning women to raise children on their own. Yet, if anyone suggests that a man’s responsibility, as a father, begins in the womb, liberal feminists grasp at anything to make sure those chasms between men and women are never bridged. Rather than promote harmony between genders, this movement desperately depends upon vitriolic conflict.



The Frisky, a raunchy online entertainment mag (formerly owned by Turner Broadcasting), sadly proves my point. In an article entitled [“Black Men Targeted by Anti-Abortion Billboard”](#), author Jessica Wakeman writes, unoriginally, that The Radiance Foundation’s [“Fatherhood Begins in the Womb”](#) campaign now shames black men. Not that we expect abortion apologists to write about facts, but the campaign clearly highlights the crisis of fatherlessness, regardless of race. The CDC reports that 84% of America’s abortions are among unmarried women. The abandonment is devastating and measurable; the cost is, mostly, paid for by our children. That doesn’t stop this self-professing progressive feminist to rail against a campaign calling men to responsibility.

“This particular messaging conveys a dangerous idea: *simply by impregnating a woman* you are a father and are entitled to control what happens to that kid,” Wakeman reacts to the suggestion that men accept the natural consequences of sexual behavior. She then, after accusing us of “targeting black men”, proceeds to depict these men as drug-dealers, alcoholics, and imminent prisoners. The not-so-subtle racism of that inaccurate depiction of black fathers (or most fathers, for that matter) should offend anyone, unless it’s an effort to proclaim the tenets of liberal feminism.

For a philosophy so often rooted in misandry, apparently women can choose no wrong. The world is all about

patriarchal control. But we're all human; we're all frail and in need of much self-control. Liberal feminists refuse to see the beauty in the cohesion of male and female partnerships, especially marriages, of mutual respect and love. They see submission where we see mutualism. Men and women need each other, and more importantly, children (born and unborn) need them to work together, in harmony, to create the best environment for them.

Liberal feminism's unbounded defense of Planned Parenthood and abortion reveals, at its heart, its rejection of biological, emotional, and spiritual harmony. The discord that results impacts us all. Its cold legacy has left many wounded. This brand of misguided feminism, in a quest for false empowerment, prefers the death of millions of future feminists over the prospect that their children may just prove them wrong.